S.B. CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION NO.36/2007.

(PRATAPRAM MALI VS. STATE & ORS.)

DATE OF ORDER : 16.11.2007.

HON'BLE MR. GOVIND MATHUR, J.

Mr. Vijay Purohit for the petitioner.
Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, Public Prosecutor.

This revision petition under Section 397 read with Section 401 of Code of Criminal Procedure is preferred to question validity, correctness and propriety of the judgment dated 01.12.2006 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Deedwana in Sessions Case No.30/2003 acquitting the respondents No. 3 to 12 for the offences under Sections 148, 341, 323, 458 and 300 IPC and also acquitting the respondent No.2 for the offences under Sections 148, 458, 307 and 341 IPC.

The contention of counsel for the petitioner is that the court below has not appreciated the evidence available on record in proper manner.

I have examined the judgment impugned and also the entire record available.

The findings given by the court below in no manner can be termed and treated as perverse. As a matter of fact, the learned trial court considered the entire evidence available on record and appreciated the same as per law. Re-appreciation of evidence in revisional jurisdiction of this Court shall not be appropriate. The order impugned in any manner does not suffer from any error that may warrant interference of this Court in revisional jurisdiction.

The revision petition, therefore, is dismissed.

(GOVIND MATHUR)J.

Anil/